arXiv:1404.6794v1 [math.RA] 27 Apr 2014

# Leonard pairs having LB-TD form

Kazumasa Nomura

**Abstract**

Fix an algebraically closed field $\mathbb{F}$ and an integer $d \geq 3$. Let $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra consisting of the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrices that have all entries in $\mathbb{F}$. We consider a pair of diagonalizable matrices $A, A^*$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$, each acts in an irreducible tridiagonal fashion on an eigenbasis for the other one. Such a pair is called a Leonard pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$. For a Leonard pair $A, A^*$ there is a nonzero scalar $q$ that is used to describe the eigenvalues of $A$ and $A^*$. In the present paper we find all Leonard pairs $A, A^*$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $A$ is lower bidiagonal with subdiagonal entries all 1 and $A^*$ is irreducible tridiagonal, under the assumption that $q$ is not a root of unity. This gives a partial solution of a problem given by Paul Terwilliger.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{F}$ denotes an algebraically closed field. All scalars will be taken from $\mathbb{F}$. Fix an integer $d \geq 0$ and a vector space $V$ over $\mathbb{F}$ with dimension $d+1$. Let $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-vector space consisting of the column vectors of length $d+1$ and $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ denote the $\mathbb{F}$-algebra consisting of the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrices. The algebra $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ acts on $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ by left multiplication.

We begin by recalling the notion of a Leonard pair. We use the following terms. A square matrix is said to be *tridiagonal* whenever each nonzero entry lies on either the diagonal, the subdiagonal, or the superdiagonal. A tridiagonal matrix is said to be *irreducible* whenever each entry on the subdiagonal is nonzero and each entry on the superdiagonal is nonzero.

**Definition 1.1** [7, Definition 1.1] By a *Leonard pair on* $V$ we mean an ordered pair of linear transformations $A : V \to V$ and $A^* : V \to V$ that satisfy (i) and (ii) below:

(i) There exists a basis for $V$ with respect to which the matrix representing $A$ is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing $A^*$ is diagonal.

(ii) There exists a basis for $V$ with respect to which the matrix representing $A^*$ is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing $A$ is diagonal.

By a *Leonard pair in* $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ we mean an ordered pair $A, A^*$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ that acts on $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ as a Leonard pair.

**Note 1.2** According to a common notational convention, $A^*$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $A$. We are not using this convention. In a Leonard pair $A, A^*$ the matrices $A$ and $A^*$ are arbitrary subject to the conditions (i) and (ii) above.

We refer the reader to [5–11] for background on Leonard pairs.

A square matrix is said to be *lower bidiagonal* whenever each nonzero entry lies on either the diagonal or the subdiagonal. Paul Terwilliger gave the following problem:

**Problem 1.3** [10, Problem 36.14] Find all Leonard pairs $A, A^*$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ that satisfy the following conditions: (i) $A$ is lower bidiagonal with subdiagonal entries all 1; (ii) $A^*$ is irreducible tridiagonal.

The above problem is related to "Leonard triples" [1, 3], "adjacent Leonard pairs" [2], and "$q$-tetrahedron algebras" [4]. In the present paper we give a partial solution of Problem 1.3. We use the following terms:

**Definition 1.4** An ordered pair of matrices $A, A^*$ in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ is said to be *LB-TD* whenever $A$ is lower bidiagonal with subdiagonal entries all 1 and $A^*$ is irreducible tridiagonal.

**Definition 1.5** A Leonard pair $A, A^*$ on $V$ is said to have *LB-TD form* whenever there exists a basis for $V$ with respect to which the matrices representing $A, A^*$ form an LB-TD pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$.

**Note 1.6** Let $A, A^*$ be a Leonard pair on $V$. For scalars $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, the pair $A+\alpha I$, $A^*+\alpha^* I$ is also a Leonard pair on $V$, which is called a *translation* of $A, A^*$. Here $I$ denotes the identity. If $A, A^*$ has LB-TD form, then any translation of $A, A^*$ has LB-TD form.

Below we display a family of LB-TD Leonard pairs in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$. Consider the following LB-TD pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & y_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ z_1 & x_1 & y_2 & & & \\ & z_2 & x_2 & \cdot & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & y_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & z_d & x_d \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

**Proposition 1.7** *Fix a nonzero scalar $q$ that is not a root of unity. Let $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $c$ be scalars with $c \neq 0$. Define scalars $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ by*

$$\theta_i = \alpha + aq^{2i-d} + a'q^{d-2i}, \tag{2}$$

$$x_i = \alpha^* + (b+b')q^{d-2i} + a'cq^{d-2i}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1}), \tag{3}$$

$$y_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(b - a'cq^{d-2i+1})(b' - a'cq^{d-2i+1})c^{-1}, \tag{4}$$

$$z_i = -cq^{d-2i+1}. \tag{5}$$

*Then the matrices $A, A^*$ form an LB-TD Leonard pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ if and only if the scalars $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $c$ satisfy the following inequalities:*

$$a \notin \{a'q^{2d-2}, a'q^{2d-4}, \ldots, a'q^{2-2d}\}, \tag{6}$$

$$b \notin \{b'q^{2d-2}, b'q^{2d-4}, \ldots, b'q^{2-2d}\}, \tag{7}$$

$$bc^{-1}, b'c^{-1} \notin \{aq^{d-1}, aq^{d-3}, \ldots, aq^{1-d}\} \cup \{a'q^{d-1}, a'q^{d-3}, \ldots, a'q^{1-d}\}. \tag{8}$$

To state our further results, we recall some materials concerning Leonard pairs. Consider a Leonard pair $A, A^*$ on $V$. We first recall some facts concerning the eigenvalues of

$A, A^*$. By [7, Lemma 1.3] each of $A, A^*$ has mutually distinct $d+1$ eigenvalues. Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be an ordering of the eigenvalues of $A$. For $0 \leq i \leq d$ pick an eigenvector $v_i \in V$ of $A$ associated with $\theta_i$. Then the ordering $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is said to be *standard* whenever the basis $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$ satisfies Definition 1.1(ii). A standard ordering of $A^*$ is similarly defined. For a standard ordering $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ of the eigenvalues of $A$, the ordering $\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ is also standard and no further ordering is standard. A similar result applies to $A^*$. Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) be a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A$ (resp. $A^*$). By [7, Theorem 1.9] the expressions

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*} \tag{9}$$

are equal and independent of $i$ for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. Next we recall the notion of a parameter array of $A, A^*$.

**Lemma 1.8** [7, Theorem 3.2] *For a Leonard pair $A, A^*$ on $V$ and a standard ordering $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) of the eigenvalues of $A$ (resp. $A^*$), there exists a basis $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ for $V$ and there exist scalars $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ such that the matrices representing $A, A^*$ with respect to $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$ are*

$$A: \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad A^*: \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^* & \varphi_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta_1^* & \varphi_2 & & & \\ & & \theta_2^* & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot & \varphi_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & \theta_d^* \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

*The sequence $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is uniquely determined by the ordering $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$. Moreover $\varphi_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$.*

With reference to Lemma 1.8 we refer to $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ as the *first split sequence* of $A, A^*$ associated with the ordering $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$. By the *second split sequence* of $A, A^*$ associated with the ordering $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ we mean the first split sequence of $A, A^*$ associate with the ordering $(\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$. By a *parameter array of $A, A^*$* we mean the sequence

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d, \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d), \tag{11}$$

where $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A^*$, and $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ (resp. $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$) is the first split sequence (resp. second split sequence) of $A, A^*$ associated with the ordering $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$.

For the Leonard pair given in Propositions 1.7, the corresponding parameter array is as follows:

**Proposition 1.9** *With reference to Proposition 1.7, assume $A, A^*$ is an LB-TD Leonard pair in* $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$. *Define scalars* $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ *by*

$$\theta_i^* = \alpha^* + bq^{2i-d} + b'q^{d-2i}, \tag{12}$$

$$\varphi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(b - a'cq^{d-2i+1})(b' - acq^{2i-d-1})c^{-1}, \tag{13}$$

$$\phi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(b - acq^{d-2i+1})(b' - a'cq^{2i-d-1})c^{-1}. \tag{14}$$

*Then* (11) *is a parameter array of $A, A^*$.*

For the rest of this section, we assume $d \geq 3$. Let $A, A^*$ be a Leonard pair on $V$, and let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) be a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A$ (resp. $A^*$). Let $\beta$ be one less the common value of (9). We call $\beta$ the *fundamental parameter* of $A, A^*$. Let $q$ be a nonzero scalar such that $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$. We call $q$ a *quantum parameter* of $A, A^*$. We now give a solution of Problem 1.3 for the case that $q$ is not a root of unity:

**Theorem 1.10** *Consider sequences of scalars* $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ *such that $y_i z_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, and consider the matrices $A, A^*$ in* (1). *Assume $A, A^*$ is a Leonard pair in* $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ *with quantum parameter $q$ that is not a root of unity. Then, after replacing $q$ with $q^{-1}$ if necessary, there exist scalars $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $c$ with $c \neq 0$ that satisfy* (2)–(8).

Let $A, A^*$ be a Leonard pair on $V$ with parameter array (11). Our next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameter array for that $A, A^*$ has LB-TD form. To state this result, we use the following notation. Let $q$ be a quantum parameter of $A, A^*$, and assume $q$ is not a root of unity. By [7, Lemma 9.2] there exist scalars $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$ such that

$$\theta_i = \alpha + aq^{2i-d} + a'q^{d-2i} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{15}$$

$$\theta_i^* = \alpha^* + bq^{2i-d} + b'q^{d-2i} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{16}$$

By [6, Lemma 13.1] there exists a scalar $\xi$ such that

$$\varphi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})(\xi + abq^{2i-d-1} + a'b'q^{d-2i+1}) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d), \tag{17}$$

$$\phi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})(\xi + a'bq^{2i-d-1} + ab'q^{d-2i+1}) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{18}$$

**Theorem 1.11** *With the above notation, the following* (i) *and* (ii) *are equivalent:*

(i) *$A, A^*$ has LB-TD form.*

(ii) *At least two of $aa'$, $bb'$, $\xi$ are nonzero.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we recall some materials concerning Leonard pairs. In Section 4 we prove Propositions 1.7 and 1.9. In Section 5 we recall the Askey-Wilson relations. In Section 6–7 we use Askey-Wilson relations to obtain the entries of $A^*$ in (1). In Section 8 we prove Theorem 1.11. In Section 9 we prove Theorem 1.10. Leonard pairs have been classified in [10, Section 35]: there are 7 types for the case that $q$ is not a root of unity. In Section 10 we explain about which types of Leonard pairs have LB-TD form.

## 2 Recurrent sequences

In this section we recall the notion of a recurrent sequence. We also mention some lemmas for later use. Assume $d \geq 3$ and consider a sequence $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ consisting of mutually distinct scalars. We say $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is *recurrent* whenever the expression

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i} \tag{19}$$

is independent of $i$ for $2 \leq i \leq d-1$. For a scalar $\beta$, we say $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent whenever

$$\theta_{i-2} - (\beta+1)\theta_{i-1} + (\beta+1)\theta_i - \theta_{i+1} = 0 \qquad (2 \leq i \leq d-1).$$

Observe that $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is recurrent if and only if it is $\beta$-recurrent for some $\beta$. In this case, the value of (19) is equal to $\beta + 1$.

**Lemma 2.1** [7, Lemmas 8.4, 8.5] *Assume $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent for some scalar $\beta$. Then the following hold:*

(i) *There exists a scalar $\gamma$ such that*

$$\gamma = \theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d-1). \tag{20}$$

(ii) *Let $\gamma$ be from* (i)*. Then there exists a scalar $\varrho$ such that*

$$\varrho = \theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta\theta_{i-1}\theta_i + \theta_i^2 - \gamma(\theta_{i-1} + \theta_i) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{21}$$

**Lemma 2.2** [7, Lemma 8.4] *Assume $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ satisfies* (20) *for some scalars $\beta$ and $\gamma$. Then $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent.*

Assume $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent, and take a nonzero scalar $q$ such that $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$. Assume $q$ is not a root of unity. By [7, Lemma 9.2] there exist scalars $\alpha$, $a$, $a'$ such that

$$\theta_i = \alpha + aq^{2i-d} + a'q^{d-2i} \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{22}$$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let the scalars $\gamma$, $\varrho$ be from Lemma 2.1. Then*

$$\gamma = -\alpha(q - q^{-1})^2, \tag{23}$$

$$\varrho = \alpha^2(q - q^{-1})^2 - aa'(q^2 - q^{-2})^2. \tag{24}$$

**Proof.** Routine verification. □

**Lemma 2.4** *Let the scalars $\gamma$, $\varrho$ be from Lemma 2.1, and let $\{\tilde{\theta}_i\}_{i=0}^d$ be a reordering of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ that satisfies both*

$$\gamma = \tilde{\theta}_{i-1} - \beta\tilde{\theta}_i + \tilde{\theta}_{i+1} \qquad (1 \le i \le d-1), \tag{25}$$

$$\varrho = \tilde{\theta}_0^2 - \beta\tilde{\theta}_0\tilde{\theta}_1 + \tilde{\theta}_1^2 - \gamma(\tilde{\theta}_0 + \tilde{\theta}_1). \tag{26}$$

*Then the sequence $\{\tilde{\theta}_i\}_{i=0}^d$ coincides with either $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ or $\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$.*

**Proof.** Note that the sequence $\{\tilde{\theta}_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is $\beta$-recurrent by (25) and Lemma 2.2. By this and [7, Lemma 9.2] there exist scalars $\tilde{\alpha}$, $\tilde{a}$, $\tilde{a}'$ such that

$$\tilde{\theta}_i = \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{a}q^{2i-d} + \tilde{a}'q^{d-2i} \qquad (0 \le i \le d). \tag{27}$$

By (23) and (25) for $i = 1$ one finds $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$. By this and (23), (24), (26), one finds $aa' = \tilde{a}\tilde{a}'$. Using these comments and the assumption that $\{\tilde{\theta}_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a permutation of $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, one routinely finds that either (i) $\tilde{a} = a$, $\tilde{a}' = a'$ or (ii) $\tilde{a} = a'$, $\tilde{a}' = a$. The result follows. □

# 3 Parameter arrays

In this section we recall some materials concerning Leonard pairs.

We first recall the notion of an isomorphism of Leonard pairs. Consider a vector space $V'$ over $\mathbb{F}$ that has dimension $d+1$. For a Leonard pairs $A, A^*$ on $V$ and a Leonard pair $B, B^*$ on $V'$, by an *isomorphism of Leonard pairs* from $A, A^*$ to $B, B^*$ we mean a linear bijection $\sigma : V \to V'$ such that both $\sigma A = B\sigma$ and $\sigma A^* = B^*\sigma$. We say that the Leonard pairs $A, A^*$ and $B, B^*$ are *isomorphic* whenever there exists an isomorphism of Leonard pairs from $A, A^*$ to $B, B^*$.

Next we recall some facts concerning a parameter array of a Leonard pair.

**Definition 3.1** By a *parameter array over* $\mathbb{F}$ we mean a sequence (11) consisting of scalars in $\mathbb{F}$ that satisfy (i)–(v) below:

(i) $\theta_i \ne \theta_j$, $\theta_i^* \ne \theta_j^*$ if $i \ne j$ $(0 \le i, j \le d)$.

(ii) $\varphi_i \ne 0$, $\phi_i \ne 0$ $(1 \le i \le d)$.

(iii) $\varphi_i = \phi_1 \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_h - \theta_{d-h}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_d)$ $(1 \le i \le d)$.

(iv) $\phi_i = \varphi_1 \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_h - \theta_{d-h}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{d-i+1} - \theta_0)$ $(1 \le i \le d)$.

(v) The expressions

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \qquad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*} \tag{28}$$

are equal and independent of $i$ for $2 \le i \le d-1$.

**Lemma 3.2** [7, Theorem 1.9] *Consider sequences of scalars* $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$. *Let* $A : V \to V$ *and* $A^* : V \to V$ *be linear transformations that are represented as in* (10) *with respect to some basis for* $V$. *Then the following* (i) *and* (ii) *are equivalent:*

(i) *The pair* $A, A^*$ *is a Leonard pair with parameter array* (11).

(ii) *The sequence* (11) *is a parameter array over* $\mathbb{F}$.

*Suppose* (i) *and* (ii) *hold above. Then* $A, A^*$ *is unique up to isomorphism of Leonard pairs.*

**Lemma 3.3** *Let* $A, A^*$ *be a Leonard pair on* $V$ *with parameter array* (11). *Assume* $d \geq 3$, *and let* $q$ *be a quantum parameter of* $A, A^*$. *Let* $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $\xi$ *be scalars that satisfy* (15)–(18). *Assume at least two of* $aa'$, $bb'$, $\xi$ *are nonzero. Then there exits a nonzero scalar* $c$ *such that* $\xi = -aa'c - bb'c^{-1}$. *Moreover, this scalar satisfies*

$$\varphi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(b - a'cq^{d-2i+1})(b' - acq^{2i-d-1})c^{-1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d), \tag{29}$$

$$\phi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(b - acq^{d-2i+1})(b' - a'cq^{2i-d-1})c^{-1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{30}$$

**Proof.** Such a scalar $c$ exists since $\mathbb{F}$ is algebraically closed. To get (29) and (30), set $\xi = -aa'c - bb'c^{-1}$ in (17) and (18). □

# 4 Proof of Propositions 1.7 and 1.9

**Proof of Propositions 1.7 and 1.9.** Fix a nonzero scalar $q$ that is not a root of unity. Let $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $c$ be scalars with $c \neq 0$. Define scalars $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ by (2)–(5), and define scalars $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ by (12)–(14). One checks that the conditions Definition 3.1(i), (ii) are satisfied if and only if (6)–(8) hold. In this case, the conditions Definition 3.1(iii)–(v) are satisfied. Therefore (11) is a parameter array over $\mathbb{F}$ if and only if (6)–(8) hold. Let the matrices $A, A^*$ be from (1). For $0 \leq r \leq d$ define $u_r \in \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ that has $i$th entry

$$(u_r)_i = (-1)^{r+i} c^{i-r} q^{(d+r-i)(d-r+i-1)/2} \times \prod_{h=0}^{d+r-i-1} (q^{d-h} - q^{h-d}) \prod_{h=0}^{r-1} (b' - acq^{2h-d+1}) \prod_{h=0}^{d-i-1} (b' - a'cq^{2h-d+1})$$

for $0 \leq i \leq d$. One routinely verifies that

$$Au_r = \theta_r u_r + u_{r+1} \qquad (0 \leq r \leq d-1), \qquad Au_d = \theta_d u_d,$$

$$A^* u_r = \theta_r^* u_r + \varphi_r u_{r-1} \qquad (1 \leq r \leq d), \qquad Au_0 = \theta_0^* u_0.$$

Therefore the matrices representing $A, A^*$ with respect to $\{u_r\}_{r=0}^d$ are as in (10). By these comments and Lemma 3.2, $A, A^*$ is a Leonard pair with parameter array (11) if and only if (6)–(8) hold. In this case, observe that $y_i z_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. The results follow. □

## 5 The Askey-Wilson relations

For the rest of the paper we assume $d \geq 3$. In this section we recall the Askey-Wilson relations for a Leonard pair. Consider a Leonard pair $A, A^*$ on $V$ with parameter array (11) and fundamental parameter $\beta$. Note that $\beta$ is well-defined by our assumption $d \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.1 there exist scalars $\gamma$, $\gamma^*$, $\varrho$, $\varrho^*$ such that

$$\gamma = \theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \tag{31}$$
$$\gamma^* = \theta^*_{i-1} - \beta\theta^*_i + \theta^*_{i+1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d-1), \tag{32}$$
$$\varrho = \theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta\theta_{i-1}\theta_i + \theta_i^2 - \gamma(\theta_{i-1} + \theta_i) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d), \tag{33}$$
$$\varrho^* = \theta^{*2}_{i-1} - \beta\theta^*_{i-1}\theta^*_i + \theta^{*2}_i - \gamma^*(\theta^*_{i-1} + \theta^*_i) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{34}$$

**Lemma 5.1** [11, Theorem 1.5] *There exist scalars $\omega$, $\eta$, $\eta^*$ such that both*

$$A^2A^* - \beta AA^*A + A^*A^2 - \gamma(AA^* + A^*A) - \varrho A^* = \gamma^*A^2 + \omega A + \eta I, \tag{35}$$
$$A^{*2}A - \beta A^*AA^* + AA^{*2} - \gamma^*(A^*A + AA^*) - \varrho^* A = \gamma A^{*2} + \omega A^* + \eta^* I. \tag{36}$$

*The scalars $\omega$, $\eta$, $\eta^*$ are uniquely determined by $A, A^*$.*

The relations (35) and (36) are known as the *Askey-Wilson relations*. Below we describe the scalars $\omega$, $\eta$, $\eta^*$. Define scalars $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^d$ and $\{a_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ by

$$a_i = \theta_i + \frac{\varphi_i}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i-1}} + \frac{\varphi_{i+1}}{\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d-1),$$
$$a_0 = \theta_0 + \frac{\varphi_1}{\theta^*_0 - \theta^*_1}, \qquad a_d = \theta_d + \frac{\varphi_d}{\theta^*_d - \theta^*_{d-1}},$$
$$a^*_i = \theta^*_i + \frac{\varphi_i}{\theta_i - \theta_{i-1}} + \frac{\varphi_{i+1}}{\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d-1),$$
$$a^*_0 = \theta^*_0 + \frac{\varphi_1}{\theta_0 - \theta_1}, \qquad a^*_d = \theta^*_d + \frac{\varphi_d}{\theta_d - \theta_{d-1}}.$$

For notational convenience, define $\theta_{-1}$, $\theta_{d+1}$ (resp. $\theta^*_{-1}$, $\theta^*_{d+1}$) so that (31) (resp. (32)) holds for $i = 0$ and $i = d$. Let the scalars $\omega$, $\eta$, $\eta^*$ be from Lemma 5.1.

**Lemma 5.2** [11, Theorem 5.3] *With the above notation,*

$$\omega = a^*_i(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}) + a^*_{i-1}(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i-2}) - \gamma^*(\theta_{i-1} + \theta_i) \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d), \tag{37}$$
$$\eta = a^*_i(\theta_i - \theta_{i-1})(\theta_i - \theta_{i+1}) - \gamma^*\theta_i^2 - \omega\theta_i \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d), \tag{38}$$
$$\eta^* = a_i(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i-1})(\theta^*_i - \theta^*_{i+1}) - \gamma\theta^{*2}_i - \omega\theta^*_i \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{39}$$

Let $q$ be a quantum parameter of $A, A^*$, and assume $q$ is not a root of unity. Let $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $\xi$ be be scalars that satisfy (15)–(18).

**Lemma 5.3** *With the above notation, assume $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha^* = 0$. Then*

$$\gamma = 0, \qquad \gamma^* = 0, \tag{40}$$

$$\varrho = -aa'(q^2 - q^{-2})^2, \tag{41}$$

$$\varrho^* = -bb'(q^2 - q^{-2})^2, \tag{42}$$

$$\omega = (q - q^{-1})^2\big((q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1})\xi - (a + a')(b + b')\big), \tag{43}$$

$$\eta = -(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})\big((a + a')\xi - aa'(b + b')(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1})\big), \tag{44}$$

$$\eta^* = -(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})\big((b + b')\xi - bb'(a + a')(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1})\big). \tag{45}$$

**Proof.** The lines (40)–(42) follows from Lemma 2.3. The lines (43)–(45) are routinely verified. □

# 6 Evaluating the Askey-Wilson relations

Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ be scalars such that $y_i z_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Consider the matrices $A, A^*$ from (1), and assume $A, A^*$ is a Leonard pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ with fundamental parameter $\beta$ and quantum parameter $q$ that is not a root of unity. In this section we evaluate the Askey-Wilson relations to obtain some relations between the entries of $A$ and $A^*$. For each matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ we index the rows and columns by $0, 1, \ldots, d$.

**Lemma 6.1** *With the above notation, $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A$.*

**Proof.** Clearly $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is an ordering of the eigenvalues of $A$. Compute the $(i-1, i+1)$-entry of (36) for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ to find

$$y_i y_{i+1}(\theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1}) = y_i y_{i+1}\gamma.$$

So $\gamma = \theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. Compute the $(0,1)$-entry of (36) to find

$$y_0\big(\theta_0^2 - \beta\theta_0\theta_1 + \theta_1^2 - \gamma(\theta_0 + \theta_1)\big) = y_0\varrho.$$

So $\varrho = \theta_0^2 - \beta\theta_0\theta_1 + \theta_1^2 - \gamma(\theta_0 + \theta_1)$. By these comments and Lemma 2.4 we find the result. □

Let $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ be a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A^*$. Let $\alpha$, $a$, $a'$ (resp. $\alpha^*$, $b$, $b'$) be scalars that satisfy (15) (resp. (16)). We assume $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha^* = 0$. Let the scalars $\gamma$, $\gamma^*$, $\varrho$, $\varrho^*$ be from (31)–(34). Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ (resp. $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$) be the first split sequence (resp. second split sequence) of $A, A^*$ associated with the ordering $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$. Let $\xi$ be a scalar that satisfies (17) and (18), and let the scalars $\omega$, $\eta$, $\eta^*$ be from Lemma 5.1. Note that the scalars $\gamma$, $\gamma^*$, $\varrho$, $\varrho^*$, $\omega$, $\eta$, $\eta^*$ are written as in (40)–(45).

**Lemma 6.2** *With the above notation, after replacing $q$ with $q^{-1}$ if necessary,*

$$z_i = z_1 q^{2-2i} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d). \tag{46}$$

**Proof.** Compute the $(i+1, i-2)$-entry of (35) to find

$$z_{i-1} - \beta z_i + z_{i+1} = 0 \qquad (2 \leq i \leq d-1). \tag{47}$$

By (47) for $i = 2$

$$z_3 = \beta z_2 - z_1. \tag{48}$$

Compute the $(3, 0)$-entry of (36) to find

$$z_1 z_2 - \beta z_1 z_3 + z_2 z_3 = 0.$$

In this equation, eliminate $z_3$ using (48), and simplify the result using $\beta = q^2 + q^{-2}$ to find

$$(z_2 - q^2 z_1)(z_2 - q^{-2} z_1) = 0.$$

So either $z_2 = z_1 q^2$ or $z_2 = z_1 q^{-2}$. After replacing $q$ with $q^{-1}$ if necessary, we may assume $z_2 = z_1 q^{-2}$. Now the result follows from this and (47). □

For the rest of this section, we choose $q$ that satisfies (46).

**Lemma 6.3** *With the above notation, for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$*

$$q^{-3} x_{i-1} - (q + q^{-1}) x_i + q^3 x_{i+1} = 0. \tag{49}$$

**Proof.** Compute the $(i+1, i-1)$-entry of (36) to find

$$z_i z_{i+1}(\theta_{i-1} - \beta\theta_i + \theta_{i+1}) + x_i(z_i + z_{i+1}) + x_{i-1}(z_i - \beta z_{i+1}) + x_{i+1}(z_{i+1} - \beta z_i) = 0.$$

In this equation, the first term is zero by (31). Simplify the remaining terms using (46) to find (49). □

**Lemma 6.4** *With the above notation, for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$*

$$x_{i-1} - \beta x_i + x_{i+1} = a' q^{d-2i-1}(q^2 - q^{-2})(q^3 - q^{-3}) z_i. \tag{50}$$

**Proof.** Compute $(i+1, i-1)$-entry of (35) to find

$$x_{i-1} - \beta x_i + x_{i+1} + \theta_{i-1}(z_{i+1} - \beta z_i) + \theta_i(z_i + z_{i+1}) + \theta_{i+1}(z_i - \beta z_{i+1}) = 0.$$

In this line, eliminate $z_{i+1}$ using (46), and simplify the result using (15) to find (50). □

For notational convenience, define $y_0 = 0$ and $y_{d+1} = 0$.

**Lemma 6.5** *With the above notation, for* $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$y_{i-1} - \beta y_i + y_{i+1} = (\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i-1})x_{i-1} + (\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i)x_i + \omega. \tag{51}$$

**Proof.** Compute the $(i, i-1)$-entry of (35) to find

$$z_i\big(\theta_{i-1}^2 - \beta\theta_{i-1}\theta_i + \theta_i^2 - \varrho\big) + y_{i-1} - \beta y_i + y_{i+1} \\ = (\beta\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i-1} - \theta_i)x_{i-1} + (\beta\theta_i - \theta_{i-1} - \theta_i)x_i + \omega.$$

Simplify this using (31) and (33) to get (51). □

**Lemma 6.6** *With the above notation, for* $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$x_{i-1}^2 - \beta x_{i-1}x_i + x_i^2 - \varrho^* = (q + q^{-1})\big(q^{-1}y_{i-1} - (q + q^{-1})y_i + qy_{i+1}\big)z_i. \tag{52}$$

**Proof.** Compute the $(i, i-1)$-entry of (36), and simplify the result using (46) to find that the left-hand side of (52) is equal to $z_i$ times

$$q^{-2}y_{i-1} - 2y_i + q^2y_{i+1} + (\beta\theta_{i-1} - \theta_{i-1} - \theta_i)x_{i-1} + (\beta\theta_i - \theta_{i-1} - \theta_i)x_i + \omega.$$

Using (31) one finds that the above expression is equal to

$$q^{-2}y_{i-1} - 2y_i + q^2y_{i+1} + (\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i-1})x_{i-1} + (\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i)x_i + \omega.$$

Using Lemma 6.5 one finds that $z_i$ times the above expression is equal to the right-hand side of (52). □

**Lemma 6.7** *With the above notation,*

$$(\theta_0 - \beta\theta_0 + \theta_1)y_1 = \big((\beta - 2)\theta_0^2 + \varrho\big)x_0 + \omega\theta_0 + \eta, \tag{53}$$

$$(\theta_{d-1} + \theta_d - \beta\theta_d)y_d = \big((\beta - 2)\theta_d^2 + \varrho\big)x_d + \omega\theta_d + \eta. \tag{54}$$

**Proof.** Compute the $(0, 0)$-entry and the $(d, d)$-entry of (35). □

**Lemma 6.8** *With the above notation,*

$$\big((1 - \beta)x_0 + x_1 + (\theta_0 - \theta_2)z_1\big)y_1 = (\beta - 2)\theta_0x_0^2 + \omega x_0 + \varrho^*\theta_0 + \eta^*. \tag{55}$$

**Proof.** Compute the $(0, 0)$-entry of (36) to find

$$(2 - \beta)\theta_0x_0^2 + (1 - \beta)x_0y_1 + x_1y_1 + (2\theta_0 - \beta\theta_1)y_1z_1 - \omega x_0 - \varrho^*\theta_0 - \eta^* = 0.$$

By (31) $2\theta_0 - \beta\theta_1 = \theta_0 - \theta_2$. By these comments we find (55). □

## 7 Obtaining the entries of $A^*$

Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ be scalars such that $y_i z_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Consider the matrices $A, A^*$ from (1), and assume $A, A^*$ is a Leonard pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$. In this section we obtain the entries of $A^*$.

By Lemma 6.1 $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A$. Let $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ be a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A^*$. Let $\beta$ (resp. $q$) be the fundamental parameter (resp. quantum parameter) of $A, A^*$, and assume $q$ is not a root of unity. Let $\alpha$, $a$, $a'$ (resp. $\alpha^*$, $b$, $b'$) be scalars that satisfy (15) (resp. (16)). We assume $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha^* = 0$. Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ (resp. $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$) be the first split sequence (resp. second split sequence) of $A, A^*$ associated with the ordering $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$. Let $\xi$ be a scalar that satisfies (17) and (18). Let the scalars $\gamma$, $\gamma^*$, $\varrho$, $\varrho^*$ be from (31)–(34), and the scalars $\omega$, $\eta$, $\eta^*$ be from Lemma 5.1. Note that these scalars are written as in Lemma 5.3.

**Lemma 7.1** *With the above notation,*

$$x_i = q^{-2i} x_0 - a' z_1 q^{d-3i+1}(q + q^{-1})(q^i - q^{-i}) \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d). \tag{56}$$

**Proof.** Routinely obtained from (50) for $i = 1$ and (49) for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1$. □

**Lemma 7.2** *With the above notation, for $1 \leq i \leq d$, $y_i$ is equal to $q^{-i}(q^i - q^{-i})$ times*

$$\begin{aligned} &a'^2 q^{2d-3i+3}(q^{i-1} - q^{1-i}) z_1 + a a' q (q + q^{-1}) z_1 + b b' q^{i-2}(q^i + q^{-i}) z_1^{-1} \\ &\qquad - q^{1-i}(a q^{i-d-1} + a' q^{d-i+1}) x_0 - (q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1})\xi + (a + a')(b + b'). \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Obtained from (52) for $i = 1$ and (51) for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d-1$ using Lemma 7.1. □

We first consider the case that $a \neq a' q^{2d+2}$ and $a' \neq a q^{2d+2}$.

**Lemma 7.3** *With the above notation, assume $a \neq a' q^{2d+2}$. Then*

$$\xi = q^d(a a' q z_1 + b b' q^{-1} z_1^{-1}) - a q x_0 + a(b + b') q^{d+1}. \tag{57}$$

**Proof.** In (53), eliminate $y_1$ using Lemma 7.2 and simplify the result to find

$$\begin{aligned} &q^{-d-1}(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})(a q^{-d-1} - a' q^{d+1}) \\ &\qquad \times \left(\xi - q^d(a a' q z_1 + b b' q^{-1} z_1^{-1}) + a q x_0 - a(b + b') q^{d+1}\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By this and $a q^{-d-1} \neq a' q^{d+1}$ we find (57). □

**Lemma 7.4** *With the above notation, assume $a \neq a'q^{2d+2}$ and $a' \neq aq^{2d+2}$. Then*

$$x_0 = a'(q^d - q^{-d})z_1 + (b + b')q^d, \tag{58}$$

$$\xi = aa'q^{1-d}z_1 + bb'q^{d-1}z_1^{-1}. \tag{59}$$

**Proof.** In (54), eliminate $x_d$ and $y_d$ using Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2. Then eliminate $\xi$ using (57). Simplify the result using (15) and Lemma 5.3 to find

$$q^{-d}(q - q^{-1})(q^{d+1} - q^{-d-1})(aq^{d-1} - a'q^{1-d})(aq^{d+1} - a'q^{-d-1}) \\ \times \big(x_0 - a'(q^d - q^{-d})z_1 - (b + b')q^d\big) = 0.$$

We have $a' \neq aq^{2d-2}$; otherwise $\theta_{d-1} = \theta_d$. By our assumption $a' \neq aq^{2d+2}$. By these comments we get (58). Line (59) follows from (57) and (58). □

**Lemma 7.5** *With the above notation, assume $a \neq a'q^{2d+2}$ and $a' \neq aq^{2d+2}$. Then*

$$x_i = (b + b')q^{d-2i} - a'q^{1-2i}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1})z_1 \qquad (0 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$y_i = q^{d-1}(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})(b + a'z_1q^{2-2i})(b' + a'z_1q^{2-2i})z_1^{-1} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d),$$

$$z_i = q^{2-2i}z_1 \qquad (1 \leq i \leq d).$$

**Proof.** The values of $x_i$ and $y_i$ are obtained from Lemmas 7.1, 7.2 and (58). The value of $z_i$ is given in Lemma 6.2. □

Next consider the case $a = a'q^{2d+2}$. Note that $a \neq 0$ in this case; otherwise $\theta_i = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$.

**Lemma 7.6** *With the above notation, assume $a = a'q^{2d+2}$. Then*

$$x_0 = aq^{-d-2}(1 + q^2 - q^{-2d})z_1 + a^{-1}bb'q^{3d}z_1^{-1} - a^{-1}q^{2d+1}\xi + (b + b')q^d.$$

**Proof.** In (51) for $i = d$, eliminate $y_{d-1}$, $y_d$, $x_{d-1}$, $x_d$ using Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2. Then simplify the result to find that $q^{3d-2}(q^{2d+2} - q^{-2d-2})$ times

$$-ax_0 + a^2q^{-d-2}(1 + q^2 - q^{-2d})z_1 + bb'q^{3d}z_1^{-1} - q^{2d+1}\xi + a(b + b')q^d$$

is zero. The result follows. □

**Lemma 7.7** *With the above notation, assume $a = a'q^{2d+2}$. Then at least one of the following* (i)–(iii) *holds:*

(i) $z_1 = -a^{-1}bq^{2d}$.

(ii) $z_1 = -a^{-1}b'q^{2d}$.

(iii) $\xi = a^2q^{-3d-1}z_1 + bb'q^{d-1}z_1^{-1}$.

**Proof.** In (55), eliminate $x_1$ and $y_1$ using Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2. Then eliminate $x_0$ using Lemma 7.6 to find that $z_1^{-1}q^{d-1}(q - q^{-1})(q^2 - q^{-2})$ times

$$(b + az_1q^{-2d})(b' + az_1q^{-2d})(aq^{-2d}z_1 + a^{-1}bb'q^{2d}z_1^{-1} - a^{-1}q^{d+1}\xi)$$

is zero. The result follows. □

**Lemma 7.8** *With reference to Lemma 7.7, assume* (i) *holds. Then*

$$x_i = -a^{-1}q^{2d-2i+1}\xi + bq^{-2i-1}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1}) \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$
$$y_i = q^{-d-2i-1}(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(q^{d+1}\xi + abq^{-2i} + ab'q^{2i}) \qquad (1 \le i \le d),$$
$$z_i = -a^{-1}bq^{2d-2i+2} \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$$

**Proof.** The values of $x_i$ and $y_i$ are obtained from Lemmas 7.1, 7.2, 7.6. The value of $z_i$ is given in Lemma 6.2. □

**Lemma 7.9** *With reference to Lemma 7.7, assume* (ii) *holds. Then*

$$x_i = -a^{-1}q^{2d-2i+1}\xi + b'q^{-2i-1}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1}) \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$
$$y_i = q^{-d-2i-1}(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(q^{d+1}\xi + abq^{2i} + ab'q^{-2i}) \qquad (1 \le i \le d),$$
$$z_i = -a^{-1}b'q^{2d-2i+2} \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$$

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Lemma 7.8. □

**Lemma 7.10** *With reference to Lemma 7.7, assume* (iii) *holds. Then*

$$x_i = (b + b')q^{d-2i} - aq^{-2d-2i-1}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1})z_1 \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$
$$y_i = q^{d-1}(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})(b + aq^{-2d-2i}z_1)(b' + aq^{-2d-2i}z_1)z_1^{-1} \qquad (1 \le i \le d),$$
$$z_i = q^{2-2i}z_1 \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$$

**Proof.** Similar to the proof of Lemma 7.8. □

Next consider the case $a' = aq^{2d+2}$. The following lemmas can be shown in a similar way as Lemmas 7.6–7.10.

**Lemma 7.11** *With the above notation, assume* $a' = aq^{2d+2}$. *Then*

$$x_0 = aq^{3d+2}z_1 + a^{-1}bb'q^{d-2}z_1^{-1} - a^{-1}q^{-1}\xi + (b + b')q^d.$$

**Lemma 7.12** *With the above notation, assume* $a' = aq^{2d+2}$. *Then at least one of the following* (i)–(iii) *holds:*

(i) $z_1 = -a^{-1}bq^{-2}$.

(ii) $z_1 = -a^{-1}b'q^{-2}$.

(iii) $\xi = a^2q^{d+3}z_1 + bb'q^{d-1}z_1^{-1}$.

**Lemma 7.13** *With reference to Lemma 7.12, assume* (i) *holds. Then*

$$x_i = -a^{-1}q^{-2i-1}\xi + bq^{2d-2i+1}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1}) \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$
$$y_i = q^{3d-2i+3}(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(q^{-d-1}\xi + abq^{2d-2i+2} + ab'q^{2i-2d-2}) \qquad (1 \le i \le d),$$
$$z_i = -a^{-1}bq^{-2i} \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$$

**Lemma 7.14** *With reference to Lemma 7.12, assume* (ii) *holds. Then*

$$x_i = -a^{-1}q^{-2i-1}\xi + b'q^{2d-2i+1}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1}) \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$
$$y_i = q^{3d-2i+3}(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1})(q^{-d-1}\xi + abq^{2i-2d-2} + ab'q^{2d-2i+2}) \qquad (1 \le i \le d),$$
$$z_i = -a^{-1}b'q^{-2i} \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$$

**Lemma 7.15** *With reference to Lemma 7.12, assume* (iii) *holds. Then*

$$x_i = (b + b')q^{d-2i} - aq^{2d-2i+3}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1})z_1 \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$
$$y_i = q^{d-1}(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})(b + aq^{2d-2i+4}z_1)(b' + aq^{2d-2i+4}z_1)z_1^{-1} \qquad (1 \le i \le d),$$
$$z_i = q^{2-2i}z_1 \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$$

# 8 Proof of Theorem 1.11

Let $A, A^*$ be a Leonard pair on $V$ with parameter array (11). Let $q$ be a quantum parameter of $A, A^*$, and assume $q$ is not a root of unity. Let $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $\xi$ be scalars that satisfy (15)–(18).

**Proposition 8.1** *With the above notation, assume at least two of $aa'$, $bb'$, $\xi$ are nonzero. Then $A, A^*$ is isomorphic to the Leonard pair given in Proposition 1.7 for some nonzero scalar $c$.*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha^* = 0$. By Lemma 3.3 there exists a nonzero scalar $c$ that satisfies (29) and (30). Using conditions (i) and (ii) in Definition 3.1, one checks that the scalars $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $c$ satisfy the inequalities (6)–(8). By Proposition 1.9 the Leonard pair from Proposition 1.7 has the same parameter array as $A, A^*$. By this and Lemma 3.2 $A, A^*$ is isomorphic to the Leonard pair given in Proposition 1.7. □

**Lemma 8.2** *With the above notation, assume $A, A^*$ has LB-TD form. Then at least one of $aa'$, $bb'$ is nonzero.*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume $A, A^*$ is an LB-TD pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$. In view of Lemma 6.1, we may assume that $A$ is the matrix from (1) after replacing $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ with $\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ if necessary. Write $A^*$ as in (1), and note that $y_i z_i \ne 0$ for $1 \le i \le d$. In view of Note 1.6 we may assume $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha^* = 0$. We show that at least one of $aa'$, $bb'$ is nonzero. By way of contradiction, we assume $aa' = 0$ and $bb' = 0$. Note that $a \ne a'q^{2d+2}$ and $a' \ne aq^{2d+2}$; otherwise both $a = 0$ and $a' = 0$ by $aa' = 0$. Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ (resp. $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$) be the first split sequence (resp. second split sequence) of $A, A^*$ associated with the ordering $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$. Let $\xi$ be a scalar that satisfies (17) and (18). By (59) $\xi = 0$. By this and (17), (18)

$$\varphi_1 = (q - q^{-1})(q^{-d} - q^d)(abq^{1-d} + a'b'q^{d-1}),$$
$$\phi_1 = (q - q^{-1})(q^{-d} - q^d)(a'bq^{1-d} + ab'q^{d-1}).$$

Note that $\varphi_1 \neq 0$ and $\phi_1 \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.2(ii). So

$$abq^{1-d} + a'b'q^{d-1} \neq 0, \qquad a'bq^{1-d} + ab'q^{d-1} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, if $a = 0$ then both $b' \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, and if $a' = 0$ then both $b \neq 0$ and $b' \neq 0$. This contradicts $bb' = 0$. The result follows. □

**Lemma 8.3** *With the above notation, assume $A, A^*$ has LB-TD form. Assume either $a = a'q^{2d+2}$ or $a' = aq^{2d+2}$. Then at least one of $bb'$, $\xi$ is nonzero.*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume $A, A^*$ is an LB-TD pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$. In view of Lemma 6.1, we may assume that $A$ is the matrix from (1) after replacing $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ with $\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ if necessary. Write $A^*$ as in (1), and note that $y_i z_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. In view of Note 1.6 we may assume $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha^* = 0$. First consider the case $a = a'q^{2d+2}$. Note that $aa' \neq 0$; otherwise $\theta_0 = \theta_1$. By Lemma 7.7 at least one of (i)–(iii) holds. First assume (iii) holds. Then at least one of $bb'$, $\xi$ is nonzero; otherwise $az_1 = 0$. Next assume (i) holds. By way of contradiction, assume both $bb' = 0$ and $\xi = 0$. We must have $b' = 0$ since $b \neq 0$ by $0 \neq z_1 = -a^{-1}bq^{2d}$. By these comments and Lemma 7.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i &= bq^{-2i-1}(q^{d+1} + q^{-d-1} - q^{d-2i-1} - q^{d-2i+1}) && (0 \leq i \leq d),\\
y_i &= abq^{-d-4i-1}(q^i - q^{-i})(q^{d-i+1} - q^{i-d-1}) && (1 \leq i \leq d),\\
z_i &= -a^{-1}bq^{2d-2i+2} && (1 \leq i \leq d).
\end{aligned}$$

We claim that $\det A^* = 0$. To see the claim, for $0 \leq k \leq d$ we define $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ matrix $M_k$ that consists of the rows $0, 1, \ldots, k$ and columns $0, 1, \ldots, k$ of $A^*$. So

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & y_1 \\ z_1 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 & y_1 & 0 \\ z_1 & x_1 & y_2 \\ 0 & z_2 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \ldots \qquad M_d = A^*.$$

Using induction on $k = 0, 1, \ldots, d$ one routinely finds that

$$\det M_k = b^{k+1}q^{-(k+1)(d+k+2)} \prod_{\ell=0}^{k} (1 - q^{2d-2\ell}) \qquad (0 \leq k \leq d).$$

Thus $\det M_d = 0$ and the claim is proved. By elementary linear algebra, $\det A^* = \theta_0^* \theta_1^* \cdots \theta_d^*$. So $\det A^* \neq 0$ since $\theta_i^* = bq^{2i-d}$ for $0 \leq i \leq d$. This contradicts the claim. We have shown that at least one of $\xi$, $bb'$ is nonzero for the case of (i). Next assume (ii) holds in Lemma 7.7. We can show the assertion in a similar way as above. We have shown the assertion for the case of $a = a'q^{2d+2}$. The proof is similar for the case of $a' = aq^{2d+2}$. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.11.** (i)⇒(ii): By Lemma 8.2 at least one of $aa'$, $bb'$ is nonzero. First assume $a \neq a'q^{2d+2}$ and $a' \neq aq^{2d+2}$. By Lemma 7.4 $\xi = aa'q^{1-d}z_1 + bb'q^{d-1}z_1^{-1}$. If one of $aa'$, $bb'$ is zero, then $\xi \neq 0$. Thus at least two of $aa'$, $bb'$, $\xi$ are nonzero. Next assume $a = a'q^{2d+2}$ or $a' = aq^{2d+2}$. In this case $aa' \neq 0$; otherwise $\theta_0 = \theta_1$. Moreover, at least one of $bb'$, $\xi$ is nonzero by Lemma 8.3. Thus at least two of $aa'$, $bb'$, $\xi$ are nonzero.

(ii)⇒(i): Follows from Proposition 8.1. □

## 9 Proof of Theorem 1.10

**Proof of Theorem 1.10.** Consider sequences of scalars $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ such that $y_i z_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, and consider the matrices $A, A^*$ from (1). Assume $A, A^*$ is an LB-TD Leonard pair in $\mathrm{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{F})$ with quantum parameter $q$ that is not a root of unity. By Lemma 6.1 $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A$. Let $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ be a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of $A^*$. Let $\beta$ (resp. $q$) be the fundamental parameter (resp. quantum parameter) of $A, A^*$, and assume $q$ is not a root of unity. Let $\alpha$, $a$, $a'$ (resp. $\alpha^*$, $b$, $b'$) be scalars that satisfy (15) (resp. (16)). We may assume $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha^* = 0$. Let $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ (resp. $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$) be the first split sequence (resp. second split sequence) of $A, A^*$ associated with the ordering $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$. Let $\xi$ be a scalar that satisfies (17) and (18). Note that at least two of $aa'$, $bb'$, $\xi$ are nonzero by Theorem 1.11, and so Lemma 3.3 applies.

First consider the case that $a \neq a'q^{2d+2}$ and $a' \neq aq^{2d+2}$. By Lemma 7.5 the scalars $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ are written as in Lemma 7.5. Setting $z_1 = -cq^{d-1}$ in these expressions, we obtain (3)–(5).

Next consider the case $a = a'q^{2d+2}$. By Lemma 7.7 at least one of (i)–(iii) holds in that lemma. First assume (i) holds. By Lemma 7.8 the scalars $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ are written as in Lemma 7.8. Setting $\xi = -aa'c - bb'c^{-1}$ in these expressions, and replacing $(b, b', c)$ with $(acq^{-d-1}, a^{-1}bb'c^{-1}q^{d+1}, a^{-1}bq^{d+1})$, we obtain (3)–(5). Next assume (ii) holds. Observe the expressions in Lemma 7.9 are obtained from the expressions in Lemma 7.8 by exchanging $b$ and $b'$. Now proceed as above after exchanging $b$ and $b'$. Next assume (iii) holds. By Lemma 7.10 the scalars $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ are written as in Lemma 7.10. Setting $z_1 = -cq^{d-1}$ in these expresssions, we obtain (3)–(5).

Next consider the case $a' = aq^{2d+2}$. By Lemma 7.12 at least one of (i)–(iii) holds in that lemma. First assume Lemma 7.12(i) holds. By Lemma 7.13 the scalars $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ are written as in Lemma 7.13. Setting $\xi = -aa'c - bb'c^{-1}$ in these expressions, and replacing $(b, b', c)$ with $(acq^{d+1}, a^{-1}bb'c^{-1}q^{-d-1}, a^{-1}bq^{-d-1})$, we obtain (3)–(5). Next assume Lemma 7.12(ii) holds. Observe the expressions in Lemma 7.14 are obtained from the expressions in Lemma 7.13. Now proceed as above after exchanging $b$ and $b'$. Next assume Lemma 7.12(iii) holds. By Lemma 7.15 the scalars $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^d$, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^d$, $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^d$ are written as in Lemma 7.15. Setting $z_1 = -cq^{d-1}$ in these expresssions, we obtain (3)–(5).

We have shown that there exist scalars $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $c$ with $c \neq 0$ that satisfy (3)–(5). By the construction, (2) holds. By Proposition 1.7 these scalars satisfy the inequalities (6)–(8). □

## 10 Types of Leonard pairs

Let $A, A^*$ be a Leonard pair on $V$ with quantum parameter $q$ that is not a root of unity. Let

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d, \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d, \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d, \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$$

be a parameter array of $A, A^*$. By [7, Lemma 9.2] there exist scalars $\alpha$, $\alpha^*$, $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$ such that

$$\theta_i = \alpha + aq^{2i-d} + a'q^{d-2i} \qquad (0 \le i \le d),$$
$$\theta_i^* = \alpha^* + bq^{2i-d} + b'q^{d-2i} \qquad (0 \le i \le d).$$

By [6, Lemma 13.1] there exists a scalar $\xi$ such that

$$\varphi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})(\xi + abq^{2i-d-1} + a'b'q^{d-2i+1}) \qquad (1 \le i \le d),$$
$$\phi_i = (q^i - q^{-i})(q^{i-d-1} - q^{d-i+1})(\xi + a'bq^{2i-d-1} + ab'q^{d-2i+1}) \qquad (1 \le i \le d).$$

By [10, Section 35], according to the values of $a$, $a'$, $b$, $b'$, $\xi$, the Leonard pair $A, A^*$ has one of the following types:

| $a$ | $a'$ | $b$ | $b'$ | $\xi$ | Name |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | any | $q$-Racah |
| 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $q$-Hahn |
| $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | |
| $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | dual $q$-Hahn |
| $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | |
| $\neq 0$ | 0 | 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | quantum $q$-Krawtchouk |
| 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | |
| 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | 0 | $q$-Krawtchouk |
| $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | 0 | |
| 0 | $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | affine $q$-Krawtchouk |
| $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | |
| $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\neq 0$ | 0 | dual $q$-Krawtchouk |
| $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | $\neq 0$ | 0 | 0 | |

By Theorem 1.11 $A, A^*$ has LB-TD form if and only if at least two of $aa'$, $bb'$, $\xi$ are nonzero. Therefore we obtain:

**Corollary 10.1** *Let $A, A^*$ be a Leonard pair on $V$ with quantum parameter $q$ that is not a root of unity. Then the following* (i) *and* (ii) *are equivalent:*

(i) *$A, A^*$ has LB-TD form.*

(ii) *$A, A^*$ has one of the types: q-Racah, q-Hahn, dual q-Hahn.*

## 11 Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referee for many insightful comments that lead to great improvements in the paper.

## References


[1] B. Curtin, Modular Leonard triples, Linear algebra Appl. 424 (2007) 510-539.

[2] B. Hartwig. Three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs, Linear Algebra Appl. 408 (2005) 19–39; arXiv:math.AC/0508415.

[3] H. Huang, The classification of Leonard triples of QRacah type, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 1834–1861; arXiv:1108.0548.

[4] T. Ito, H. Rosengren, P. Terwilliger, Evaluation modules for the $q$-tetrahedron algebra, Linear Algebra App. 451 (2014) 107–166; arXiv:1308.3480.

[5] K. Nomura and P. Terwilliger. Linear transformations that are tridiagonal with respect to both eigenbases of a Leonard pair, Linear Algebra Appl. 420 (2007) 198–207; arXiv:math.RA/0605316.

[6] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Affine transformations of a Leonard pair, Electron. J. of Linear Algebra 16 (2007) 389-418; arXiv:math/0611783.

[7] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other, Linear Algebra Appl. 330 (2001) 149–203; arXiv:math/0406555.

[8] P. Terwilliger, Two relations that generalize the $q$-Serre relations and the Dolan-Grady relations, in: Physics and Combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya) 377-398. World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001; arXiv:math/0307016.

[9] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the $TD$-$D$ canonical form and the $LB$-$UB$ canonical form, J. Algebra 291 (2005) 1–45; arXiv:math/0304077.

[10] P. Terwilliger, An algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials, Orthogonal polynomials and special functions, Lecture Notes in Math., 1883, Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 255–330; arXiv:math/0408390.

[11] P. Terwilliger, R. Vidunas, Leonard pairs and the Askey-Wilson relations, J. Algebra Appl. 3 (2004) 411–426; arXiv:math/0305356.



Kazumasa Nomura
Professor Emeritus
Tokyo Medical and Dental University
Kohnodai, Ichikawa, 272-0827 Japan
email: knomura@pop11.odn.ne.jp



**Keywords.** Leonard pair, tridiagonal pair, Askey-Wilson relation, orthogonal polynomial
**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.** 05E35, 05E30, 33C45, 33D45